

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

13 CV 1591

-----X
BARUCH APPELDORFER;

Plaintiff,

Case No. _____

-v-

VERIFACTS, INC.;

Defendant,
-----X

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FCRA

INTRODUCTION

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Baruch Appeldorfer, hereby files this Complaint, and states as follows;
Plaintiff sues Defendant, VERIFACTS, INC. for violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act 15 U.S.C.
§ 1681 *et seq.* and alleges as follows;

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1681p; N.Y. GBS. LAW § 380-b; and supplemental jurisdiction exists for the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
2. This court has jurisdiction as the Plaintiff lives in Orange County New York, and the acts complained of herein occurred in Orange County New York, and Defendant transacts business here.
3. This court has jurisdiction because the Defendant violated N.Y. GBS. LAW § 380-b as the Defendant's acts in this County gave rise to the causes of action asserted herein.
4. This court has jurisdiction according to N.Y. GBS. LAW § 380-b because Defendant engages in business in this State, to wit, furnishing consumer information to consumer reporting agencies

and its business in this State of New York gives rise to the causes of action asserted herein.

5. This court has jurisdiction pursuant to N.Y. GBS. LAW § 380-b because the Defendant caused injury to Plaintiff, within the State of New York, to wit, while it was engaged in service activities to wit, in furnishing consumer information to reporting agencies within the State of New York.
6. Venue is proper in the Southern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391b, in that the Plaintiff resides here, the Defendant transacts business here, and the conduct complained of occurred here.

PARTIES

7. The Plaintiff, Baruch Appeldorfer, (hereinafter Plaintiff) a natural individual who lives in the State of New York, in the County of Orange, is a consumer as defined by FCRA 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
8. The Defendant, VERIFACTS, INC. (hereinafter Defendant) is a location service provider with its principle place of business at 204 1st Ave., Sterling, IL 61081 and is a furnisher of consumer information to consumer reporting agencies.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

9. Plaintiff obtained his consumer credit reports from the three credit reporting agencies and found entries by entities that were unfamiliar to him within the reports.
10. Plaintiff found after examination of his Experian consumer credit report that the Defendant had obtained the Plaintiff's Experian consumer credit report on May 7, 2012.
11. Plaintiff found after examination of his Trans Union consumer credit report that the Defendant had obtained the Plaintiff's Trans Union consumer credit report on May 8, 2012.
12. At no time did the Plaintiff grant to Defendant consent to obtain his credit report from any credit reporting agency, nor does the Plaintiff have any kind of relationship with the Defendant as

defined under FCRA § 1681b(3)(A)-(E).

13. On November 20, 2012, Plaintiff mailed a letter to the Defendant via Certified mail # 7012 1010 0003 5808 9939, and requested a general or specific certification as to what permissible purpose they may have had when they obtained the Plaintiff's credit reports.
14. Upon Plaintiff's request for certification, the Defendant failed to respond with any evidence that they may have had when they obtained the Plaintiff's consumer credit report.
15. On February 14, 2013 Plaintiff mailed a Notice of Pending Lawsuit to Defendant via US Mail, in an effort to mitigate damages and spare judicial resources.
16. Discovery of the violations brought forth herein are within the statute of limitations as defined in FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1).

COUNT I
VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT (FCRA)
15 U.S.C. § 1681 FOR WILLFUL NON-COMPLIANCE
BY DEFENDANT VERIFACTS, INC.

17. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
18. Plaintiff is a consumer within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
19. Experian is a credit reporting agency within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).
20. Consumer credit report is a consumer report within the meaning of FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d).
21. The FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b defines the permissible purposes for which a person may obtain a consumer credit report.
22. Such permissible purposes as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681b are generally, if the consumer makes application for credit, makes application for employment, for underwriting of insurance involving the consumer, or is offered a bona fide offer of credit as a result of the inquiry.

23. The Plaintiff never had any signed contracts, business dealings, or any accounts with, made application for credit from, made application for employment with, applied for insurance from, or received a bona fide offer of credit from the Defendant that may have given them a permissible purpose to obtain the Plaintiff's consumer credit report as defined by the FCRA.
24. On May 7, 2012 Defendant obtained the Experian consumer credit report for the Plaintiff with no permissible purpose in violation of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b.
25. The Defendant was required pursuant to FCRA § 1681q, 1681n and 1681o to refrain from obtaining consumers reports from credit reporting agencies under false pretenses.
26. There was no account that the Defendant had any right to collect to have had permissible purpose to obtain Plaintiff's credit report and therefore Plaintiff is entitled to damages.
27. At no time has Defendant ever indicated what possible justification they may have had for obtaining Plaintiff's credit report.
28. The Defendant had a duty to properly ascertain if there was any legitimate permissible purpose before obtaining Plaintiff's credit report and Defendant breached said duty by failing to do so.
29. On its own authority, and without the permission and consent of the Plaintiff to do so, Defendant obtained the Plaintiff's consumer credit report.
30. As a result of the Defendant's conduct, action and inaction Plaintiff suffered damage by loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from credit, credit denials and lowering of credit lines.
31. Without a permissible purpose or Plaintiff's consent the Defendant's conduct by obtaining the Plaintiff's consumer credit report constituted a willful and/or negligent reckless violation of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b and an egregious violation of Plaintiff's right to privacy rendering them liable for statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a).

COUNT II
VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT (FCRA)
15 U.S.C. § 1681 FOR WILLFUL NON-COMPLIANCE
BY DEFENDANT VERIFACTS, INC.

32. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
33. Plaintiff is a consumer within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
34. Trans Union is a credit reporting agency within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).
35. Consumer credit report is a consumer report within the meaning of FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d).
36. The FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b defines the permissible purposes for which a person may obtain a consumer credit report.
37. On May 8, 2012 Defendant obtained the Plaintiff's Trans Union consumer credit report without a permissible purpose in violation of FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b.
38. The Defendant was required pursuant to FCRA § 1681q, 1681n and 1681o to refrain from obtaining consumers reports from credit reporting agencies under false pretenses.
39. There was no account that the Defendant had any right to collect to have had permissible purpose to obtain Plaintiff's credit report and therefore Plaintiff is entitled to damages.
40. At no time has Defendant ever indicated what possible justification they may have had for obtaining Plaintiff's credit report.
41. The Defendant had a duty to properly ascertain if there was any legitimate permissible purpose before obtaining Plaintiff's credit report and Defendant breached said duty by failing to do so.
42. On its own authority, and without the permission and consent of the Plaintiff to do so, Defendant obtained the Plaintiff's consumer credit report.

43. As a result of the Defendant's conduct, action and inaction Plaintiff suffered damage by loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from credit, credit denials and lowering of credit lines.
44. Without a permissible purpose or Plaintiff's consent the Defendant's conduct by obtaining the Plaintiff's consumer credit report constituted a willful and/or negligent reckless violation of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b and an egregious violation of Plaintiff's right to privacy rendering them liable for statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

“WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be awarded in his favor against Defendant as follows:”

1. Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(b);
2. Any Attorney's fees, Other fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3);
3. That Plaintiff recover such other an further relief as is just and proper.
4. If there are deficiencies, the court should allow the pro se Plaintiff, under Haines v Kerner to Amend his complaint to properly address any deficiencies and to add additional counts if the Defendant continues to violate sections of the Fair Credit Reporting Act after the date of the filing of this complaint.

Dated: March 4, 2013

Respectfully Submitted,

By: 

Baruch Appeldorfer

6 Taitch Ct. # 101

Monroe, NY 10950

bhar845@gmail.com

VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATION

STATE OF NEW YORK)
) ss
COUNTY OF ORANGE)

Plaintiff, Baruch Appeldorfer, hereby declares under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct:

1. I am the Plaintiff in this civil proceeding.
2. I have read the foregoing Verified Complaint and I believe that all of the facts contained therein are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry.
3. I believe that this civil Complaint is well grounded, cognizable in facts and warranted by existing law or by good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law.
4. I believe that this civil Complaint is not interposed for any improper purpose, such as to harass the Defendant, cause them unnecessary delay, or to create a needless increase in the cost of litigation to any Defendant, named in the Complaint.
5. I have filed this civil Complaint in good faith and solely for the purposes set forth in it.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2), I, Baruch Appeldorfer, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: March 4, 2013

By: 

Baruch Appeldorfer
6 Taich Ct. # 101
Monroe, NY 10950
bhar845@gmail.com